nicely in the series of squaric-acid derivatives so far characterized structurally, *i.e.*  $C_4O_4^{2-}$  (Macintyre & Werkema, 1964),  $C_4S_4^{2-}$  (Allmann *et al.*, 1976), 1,2-DTSA and 1,3-DTSA (Mattes *et al.*, 1982), where mean C-C distances from 1.438 (7) to 1.461 (4) Å have been found. The C-S bond length [1.672 (2) Å] is slightly longer than in 1,2-DTSA and 1,3-DTSA, where the values 1.645 (3) and 1.652 (7) Å have been found and no hydrogen bonds can be formed. In the present case, the S atom is engaged in a hydrogen bond [S...O(2): 3.352 (1) Å, S-H(1)...O(2): 167 (2)°]. The same is valid in  $C_4S_4^{2-}$  with the C-S bond length 1.663 (8) Å.

The C-O bond length  $[1\cdot236 (2) \text{ Å}]$  agrees well with the estimated bond order  $1\cdot5$ . Potassium is sevencoordinated by two S atoms at distances  $3\cdot469 (1)$  and  $3\cdot445 (1) \text{ Å}$  and five O atoms [mean distance  $2\cdot795 (1) \text{ Å}$ ]. In the three-dimensional lattice, the planes of the dianions are aligned nearly parallel to the a axis, with an approximate angle of  $45^{\circ}$  between neighboring anions (see Fig. 2).

#### References

- ALLMANN, R., DEBAERDEMAEKER, T., MANN, K., MATUSCH, R., SCHMIEDEL, R. & SEITZ, G. (1976). Chem. Ber. 109, 2208–2215. FATIADI, A. J. (1980). J. Org. Chem. 45, 1338–1339.
- MACINTYRE, W. M. & WERKEMA, M. S. (1964). J. Chem. Phys. 40, 3563–3568.
- MATTES, R., ALTMEPPEN, D., JOHANN, G., SCHULTE-COERNE, M. & WEBER, H. (1982). Monaish. Chem. 113, 191-196.
- SCHMIDT, A. H. (1980). Synthesis, pp. 961-994, and literature cited therein.
- SEITZ, G. (1980). Nachr. Chem. Tech. 28. 804-807, and literature cited therein.
- SEITZ, G., MANN, R., SCHMIEDEL, R. & MATUSCH, R. (1979). Chem. Ber, 112, 990–999.
- Syntex (1976). XTL/XTLE Structure Determination System. Syntex Analytical Instruments, Cupertino, California.

### Acta Cryst. (1984). C40, 742-746

## Structures of Azidobis(dimethylglyoximato)(triphenylphosphine)cobalt(III), C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>29</sub>CoN<sub>7</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P, and [4,5-Bis(methoxycarbonyl)-1,2,3-triazolato]bis(dimethylglyoximato)(triphenylphosphine)cobalt(III), C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>35</sub>CoN<sub>7</sub>O<sub>8</sub>P\*

### BY J. H. NELSON AND N. E. TAKACH

Department of Chemistry, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89557, USA

## AND N. BRESCIANI-PAHOR, L. RANDACCIO AND E. ZANGRANDO

Istituto di Chimica, Università di Trieste, 34127 Trieste, Italy

(Received 3 November 1983; accepted 5 December 1983)

Abstract. (PPh<sub>3</sub>)Co(DH)<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>,  $M_r = 593.5$ , orthorhombic,  $Pca2_1$ , a = 15.156 (8), b = 11.120 (6), c =16.557 (8) Å, U = 2790 (3) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_m = 1.42$ (flotation),  $D_x = 1.41 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , Mo K $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\mu = 7.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 1232, T = 298 K, R = 0.025for 1988 independent reflections. (PPh<sub>2</sub>)Co(DH)<sub>2</sub>(trz),  $M_r = 735.6$ , triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ , a = 10.261(6), b = 18.190(9), c = 10.425(6)Å,  $\alpha = 76.01(6)$ ,  $\beta =$ 88.15 (6),  $\gamma = 110.19$  (6)°, U = 1758 (2) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_m = 1.41$  (flotation),  $D_x = 1.39 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , Mo Ka,  $\lambda =$  $0.7107 \text{ Å}, \mu = 6.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}, F(000) = 764, T = 298 \text{ K}, R$ = 0.037 for 4469 independent reflections. The cobalt atom is octahedrally distorted with the (DH), (DH = monoanion of dimethylglyoxime) units at the equatorial positions in both compounds. The N-Co-P fragment is characterized by Co-P. Co-N bond lengths of 2.311 (1) and 2.014 (4) Å, respectively, and an N-Co-P angle of 175.4 (1)° in the azido

derivative(I). The corresponding figures for the triazolate (trz) derivative (II) are 2.333 (1), 1.953 (3) Å and 178.0 (1)°, respectively. The *trans* influence of the axial ligands is discussed and compared with that of other ligands.

**Introduction.** The reaction products of substituted alkynes with  $LCo(DH)_2N_3$  complexes (L = neutral ligand) have been characterized by elemental analyses. IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (Beck. Nelson, Takach, Kemmerich, Boehme & Jablonski, 1982). These data suggest that a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition takes place. yielding complexes in which the substituted triazolate is coordinated to cobalt. Spectroscopic data suggested that the coordination takes place through the central N atom of the triazolate ring. Furthermore, it was suggested that the triazolate group has a greater *trans* labilizing ability than azide does. Since the determination of the molecular geometry may give an

© 1984 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> Dimethylglyoxime is 2,3-butanedione dioxime.

indication about the above points we have undertaken the X-ray analysis of the title compounds, hereinafter called (I) and (II), respectively.

Experimental. Crystals of (I) and (II) obtained as described by Beck et al; for (I) and (II), cell parameters determined by Weissenberg and precession photographs, crystal  $0.6 \times 0.4 \times 0.7$  (I) and  $0.4 \times$  $0.5 \times 0.6$  (II) mm, and refined on a Siemens AED single-crystal diffractometer using 20 carefully centred high-angle  $(15 < \theta < 20^\circ)$  reflections;  $\theta - 2\theta$  scan,  $2\theta$ range 6-56°; one standard reflection [319 (I) and 751 (II)] every 100 measured showed no decay (<2%); 3784 (I)  $(h \ 0-20, k \ 0-15, l \ 0-22)$  and 8899 (II)  $(h \ 0-20, k \ 0-15, l \ 0-22)$ -13-13, k -22-22, l 0-13) data measured, 1988 (I) and 4469 (II) with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$  used in the following calculations, Lorentz and polarization but no absorption corrections; both (I) and (II) solved by conventional Patterson and Fourier methods and refined on F by block-diagonal anisotropic least squares to final R 0.025 (I) and 0.037 (II) and  $R_w$  0.032 (I) and 0.052 (II); contribution of hydrogen atoms at calculated positions [MeO hydrogens of (II) were not included] was held constant ( $B = 5 \text{ Å}^2$ ) in both structures; in the final refinement,  $w = 1/(A + |F_o| +$  $B|F_a|^2$ ) where A = 20.6 (I) and 10.8 (II) and B = 0.009 (I) and 0.017 (II) were chosen to maintain  $w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$  essentially constant over all ranges of  $F_o$  and  $(\sin\theta/\lambda)$ . 352 (I) and 443 (II) refined parameters, max.  $\Delta/\sigma = 0.8$  (I) and 0.2 (II);  $|\Delta\rho|$  on final map  $0.6 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  for (I) and (II); space group  $Pca2_1$  choice for (I) was based on statistical test and structure refinement;\* atomic scattering factors from Moore (1963); all calculations performed with XRAY70 (Stewart, Kundell & Baldwin, 1970).

**Discussion.** Final non-hydrogen positional parameters are collected in Table 1. Fig. 1 shows a perspective view of the crystallographically independent molecule of (I) with the numbering scheme for the atoms. The coordination about the cobalt atom is roughly octahedral. Two dimethylglyoxime monoanions (DH) occupy the equatorial positions, the triphenylphosphine and azide groups occupying the axial positions. Bond lengths and angles of interest are given in Table 2.

The cobalt atom is displaced 0.081 (3) Å from the plane of the four equatorial N donors [coplanar within  $\pm 0.009$  (5) Å] towards PPh<sub>3</sub>. The two DH units are bent towards the N<sub>3</sub> group, their planes making a dihedral angle of 5.7 (1)°. Bond lengths and angles in

the Co(DH)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub> moiety fall within the range found for similar compounds (Bresciani-Pahor, Calligaris & Randaccio, 1980, and references cited therein). The Ph<sub>3</sub>P-Co-N<sub>3</sub> fragment is characterized by a P-Co-N angle of 175.4 (1)° and Co-P and Co-N(5) bond lengths of 2.311 (1) and 2.014 (4) Å, respectively. The Co-N(5)-N(6) and N(5)-N(6)-N(7) bond angles are 120.4 (3) and 177.6 (6)°, respectively. The N(5)-N(6) and N(6)-N(7) distances are 1.180 (6) and 1.161 (7) Å, respectively. The latter distances differ only within three times their e.s.d.'s.

The azide group lies above the DH unit which includes N(1) and N(2) atoms, but, as a whole, it is slightly shifted to the opposite side. In fact, the N(5)–Co–N(1) and N(5)–Co–N(2) angles of 89.1 (2) and 88.7 (2)°, respectively, are larger than the N(5)–Co–N(3) and N(5)–Co–N(4) angles of 85.5 (2) and 86.8 (2)°.

Fig. 2 shows the view along the Co–P direction of (II), with the numbering scheme for the triazolate atoms. The DH units occupy the equatorial positions whereas PPh<sub>3</sub> and the triazolate ion the axial ones. The triazolate ion is bonded to cobalt through its central N(5) atom.

The cobalt atom is displaced by 0.041 (3) Å from the plane of the four N equatorial donors [coplanar within  $\pm 0.001$  (4) Å] towards PPh<sub>3</sub>. The two DH units are bent towards the triazolate group, their planes making a dihedral angle of 4.2 (2)°. Bond lengths and angles in the Co(DH)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub> moiety fall within the range found for similar compounds (Bresciani-Pahor, Calligaris & Randaccio, 1980, and references cited therein). The P-Co-N(5) fragment is characterized by a P-Co-N angle of 178.0 (1)° and Co-P and Co-N distances of 2.333 (1) and 1.953 (3) Å, respectively.



Fig. 1. A perspective view of the molecule of (I) together with the numbering scheme of the atoms.

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 39092 (32 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

# Table 1. Positional parameters $(\times 10^4)$ of non-hydrogen atoms of (I) and (II)

# Table 1 (cont.)

	atom.	s of (1) and	(11)			x	у	Z	$B_{eq}(\dot{A}^2)^*$
E.s.d.'s are given in parentheses.						-4587 (4)	3813 (3)	-142 (4)	4.5 (2)
	¥	v	7	R. (Ų)*	C(25) C(26)	-3952 (4)	2651 (2)	-121 (4)	3.6 (2)
Compound (I)	*	y	2	Deq(A)	C(27)	2735 (3)	2748 (2)	2933 (3)	2.6(1)
Co	4655-0 (3)	2457-4 (4)	2500	1.92 (1)	C(29)	5345 (5)	4596 (3)	3428 (6)	6.1(2)
P O(1)	4564 (1)	3308 (1)	1229(1)	2.01(3) 3.5(1)	C(30)	2065 (4)	1906 (2)	3265 (3)	3.2(1)
O(1) O(2)	4336 (2)	190 (2)	1758 (2)	3.3 (1)	C(31)	2240(5)	-133(4)	4323 (4)	$5 \cdot 1 (2)$
O(3)	3012 (2)	1278 (3)	2269 (2)	3.6 (1)	0(02)	1710(7)	100(1)	5155 (6)	11-1 (5)
O(4) N(1)	4988 (2)	4642 (3)	3366 (2)	$3 \cdot 3 (1)$ 2.5 (1)		* $B_{eq} = \frac{1}{2}$	5.5.B.a*a*	a.a.	
N(2)	4945 (2)	995 (3)	2007 (2)	2.5 (1)			,—,—, ,, ,, ,, ,,	, ,	
N(3)	3409 (2)	2265 (3)	2547 (3)	2.8(1)					
N(4) N(5)	4363 (2)	3883 (3) 1610 (3)	3082 (2)	$\frac{2 \cdot 7(1)}{3 \cdot 4(2)}$	Table 2.	Relevant bond	lengths (Å	) and bo	ond angles (°)
N(6)	5248 (3)	947 (4)	3741 (3)	3.9 (2)		for	(I) and $(II)$	)	
N(7)	5814 (4)	303 (6)	3933 (4)	8.3 (3)		501	(1) 4/14 (11	,	
C(1)	6348 (3)	1604 (4)	2321(3) 2280(2)	4·3 (2) 2·8 (2)		(I) (II)			(I) (II)
C(3)	5795 (3)	750 (3)	1942 (3)	2.6 (1)	Co-P	2-311 (1) 2-333 (1)	P Co N(5	) 1	75 4 (1) 178 0 (1)
C(4)	6134 (4)	-359 (5)	1544 (4)	5.1 (2)	Co-N(5)	2.014(4) $1.953(3)$	N(1)-Co-F		94.1(1) 89.08(9)
C(6)	2968 (3)	3118 (4)	2908 (3)	$3 \cdot 3 (2)$	$C_0 = N(1)$ $C_0 = N(2)$	1.871 (3) 1.899 (2)	N(1)-Co-N	N(2)	$82 \cdot 2(1)$ $81 \cdot 5(1)$
C(7)	3533 (3)	4075 (4)	3218 (3)	3.3 (2)	Co-N(3)	1.902 (3) 1.894 (3)	N(1)-Co-1	N(3) 1	74.5 (2) 177.4 (1)
C(8) C(9)	3195 (4) 4563 (2)	5162 (5)	3651 (3)	4.6 (2)	$C_0 - N(4)$ N(1) - O(1)	1.908 (3) 1.906 (2)	N(1)-Co-N	N(4)	97.6 (2) 98.7 (1) 88.5 (1) 91.06 (8)
C(10)	4532 (3)	5336 (3)	268 (3)	2.9 (2)	N(1)-C(2)	1.307 (5) 1.297 (4)	N(2)-Co-N	N(5)	88.7 (2) 88.8 (1)
C(11)	4486 (3)	6562 (4)	93 (3)	3.4 (2)	N(2)-O(2)	1.351 (4) 1.332 (3)	N(2)-Co-N	N(3)	98.8 (1) 98.7 (1)
C(12) C(13)	4470(3)	7399 (4) 7017 (4)	1503 (3)	3.3 (2)	N(2) - C(3) N(3) - O(3)	1.321(5) $1.303(5)1.333(4)$ $1.356(3)$	N(2)-Co-F	N(4) I P (	/3·3 (2) 1//·6 (1) 91·4 (1) 93·46 (9)
C(14)	4562 (3)	5786 (4)	1686 (3)	3.4 (2)	N(3)-C(6)	1.305 (6) 1.301 (5)	N(3)-Co-1	N(5)	85.5 (2) 88.5 (1)
C(15)	5497 (3)	2869 (4)	587 (3)	2.7(1)	N(4)O(4)	1.353 (5) 1.338 (3)	N(3)-Co-N	N(4)	80.9(2) 80.9(1)
C(10) C(17)	7089 (3)	3021 (5)	390 (3)	4.1 (2)	C(1)-C(2)	1.511 (6) 1.501 (7)	N(4)-Co-N	N(5)	86.8 (2) 88.8 (1)
C(18)	7043 (3)	2209 (5)	-231 (4)	4.8 (2)	C(2)-C(3)	1.457 (6) 1.464 (4)	Co-N(5)-N	N(6) 1	20.4 (3) 124.3 (2)
C(19) C(20)	6234 (4) 5458 (3)	1767 (5)	-477 (4)	5·2 (3) 4.2 (2)	C(3) = C(4) C(5) = C(6)	1.490 (7) 1.494 (5)	CoN(5)-1 N(5)-N(6)-	N(7) -N(7) 1'	122.2 (2)
C(21)	3527 (2)	2864 (4)	740 (2)	2.4 (1)	C(6)–C(7)	1.460 (7) 1.472 (5)	N(6)-N(5)-	-N(7)	- 113.6 (3)
C(22)	3402 (3)	1745 (4)	384 (3)	3.3 (2)	C(7) - C(8)	1.496 (7) 1.498 (5)	N(5)-N(6)-	-C(27)	105.3 (2)
C(23) C(24)	1902 (3)	2267 (5)	54 (3)	4.2 (2)	N(5) - N(0) N(5) - N(7)	-1.180(0) 1.333(3) -1.331(4)	N(6) - C(27)	-C(30)	-103.1(3) -107.5(3)
C(25)	1995 (3)	3351 (5)	453 (3)	4.0 (2)	N(6)-N(7)	1.161 (7) —	N(7)-C(30)	)-C(27)	— 108-6 (3)
C(26)	2812 (3)	3656 (4)	796 (3)	3.1 (2)	N(6)-C(27)	1.359 (4) 1.350 (5)	$C_0 - P - C(9)$	) 13	$22 \cdot 3(1)  111 \cdot 4(1)$ 11.9(1)  122.5(1)
Compound (II)					C(27)-C(30	1.392(5)	CoPC(2	1) 1	10.1 (1) 110.8 (1)
Co	-81.5 (4)	2512.7 (2)	290.0 (4)	1.95(1)	P-C(9)	1.835 (4) 1.843 (3)	C(9)-P-C(	(15) 10	00.4(2) $100.9(2)$
P O(1)	-1535(1) 584(3)	2650-0 (5) 4187 (1)	-1355(1)	$2 \cdot 32(2)$ $3 \cdot 5(1)$	P = C(13) P = C(21)	1.835 (4) 1.833 (4)	C(9) = P = C(0)	(21) 10	01.7(2) 110.3(2) 09.4(2) 100.0(2)
O(2)	-2248 (2)	1138 (1)	1987 (2)	3.3(1)		( , ( ,	0(17) 1 0		
O(3)	-599 (3)	828 (1)	567 (3)	3.9(1)					
O(4) O(5)	4148 (3)	4037 (2)	3007 (3)	4.5(1)			O29		
O(6)	4663 (3)	2994 (2)	4170 (4)	5.5(2)					
O(7)	1901 (6) 2541 (6)	574 (2) 1354 (3)	4056 (5) 5434 (4)	9.3(3) 9.3(3)					
N(1)	-268 (3)	3417 (1)	750 (3)	2.6(1)			° <b>y</b> ,		
N(2)	-1655 (3)	1947 (1)	1619 (2)	2.6(1)		1	/ \		
N(3) N(4)	1548 (3)	3073 (1)	-988(3)	2.5(1) 2.5(1)			28	\	/
N(5)	1133 (3)	2432 (1)	1680 (2)	2.5(1)			6	7	
N(6) N(7)	1063 (3)	3069 (2)	1914 (3) 2464 (3)	2.6(1)		$\checkmark$	€P <sup>6</sup>	/	
C(1)	1568 (5)	3909 (3)	2163 (4)	4.3 (2)		$\checkmark$	270		
C(2)	-1257 (4)	3261 (2)	1695 (3)	2.8(1)			655		
C(3) C(4)	-3201(5)	2034 (3)	3381 (4)	4.7(2)			<u>A</u>		
C(5)	1630 (6)	1105 (3)	-1329 (6)	6.3(2)			$\langle \phi_{30} \rangle$		$\checkmark$
C(6)	1218 (4)	1755 (2)	-1000(4) -1555(3)	3.5(1)		$\sim$ /	´,∰ ````````````````````````````````````	$\checkmark$	
C(8)	3203 (4)	2974 (3)	-2653 (4)	4.4 (2)		$/ \prec$	" <b>(</b> ––) <sub>8</sub>		
C(9)	-511 (3)	3207 (2)	-3005 (3)	2.8(1)	/		310 -		
C(10) C(11)	-446 (4) 354 (5)	3146 (3)	-5157 (4)	5.0(2)		\			$\bigcirc$ co
C(12)	1098 (5)	3954 (3)	-5488 (4)	5.5 (2)		١.	<b>Ø</b> 7	-	$\bigcirc$
C(13) C(14)	1072 (4)	4414 (3)	-4591 (4) -3339 (4)	5·0 (2)			1		o o
C(15)	-2824 (4)	1771 (2)	-1747 (3)	3.5(1)			1 22		() N
C(16)	-3729 (4)	1938 (3)	-2655 (4)	4.5 (2)			0*		0 c
C(17) C(18)	-4715 (5) -4820 (6)	1314 (4) 535 (4)	-3035 (5) -2515 (6)	5-9 (2) 8-0 (3)					-
C(19)	-3941 (9)	365 (4)	-1638 (8)	11.2 (5)	Fig. 2. A	view of the molec	ule of (II) a	long the	Co-P direction
C(20)	-2929 (7)	989 (3) 3161 (3)	-1243(6)	7.6 (3)	together	with the number	ring scheme	of the 4	,5-bis(methoxy-
C(21) C(22)	-2389 (4)	3993 (2)	-1320 (4)	3-8 (2)	carbony	l)-1,2,3-triazolate	atoms. The r	numbering	g scheme of the
C(23)	-3340 (5)	4321 (3)	-925 (4)	4.6 (2)	Co(DH	) <sub>2</sub> PPh <sub>3</sub> moiety is th	e same as (I).		

Table 3. Comparison of relevant structural parameters in  $LCo(DH)_2N_3$  and in  $PR_3Co(DH)_2X$  [X = 5-CF<sub>3</sub>-tetrazolate; 4,5-bis(methoxycarbonyl)triazolate] compounds

L	Х	Co-L(Å)	Co-X(Å)	$L-Co-X(^{\circ})$	α(°)*	d(Å)†
ру	N <sub>1</sub> <sup>a</sup>	1.973 (5)	1.955 (6)	177.3 (2)	2.9 (1)	0.02
PPh,	N <sub>1</sub> <sup>b</sup>	2-311 (1)	2.014 (4)	175-4 (1)	5.7(1)	0.081 (3)
PBu	tetrazolatec	2.263 (2)	1.979 (6)		10.4 (2)	0.03
PPh <sub>3</sub>	triazolate <sup>b</sup>	2.333 (1)	1.953 (3)	178-0 (1)	4.2 (2)	0.041 (3)

References: (a) Clearfield et al. (1978); (b) present work; (c) Takach et al. (1980).

\* Dihedral angle between the two DH units, the bending being toward X.

 $\dagger$  Displacement of Co from the four-N equatorial plane toward L.

The triazolate ring atoms are coplanar within  $\pm 0.004$  (4) Å. The orientation of their plane with respect to the equatorial ligands is shown in Fig. 2. The C(28) and C(31) carbon atoms of the two side  $CO_2Me$ groups are slightly displaced above and below the triazolate plane by +0.075 (4) and -0.064 (6) Å, respectively. Furthermore, the C(28), O(5), O(6) plane makes an angle of  $11.8 (3)^{\circ}$  with the triazolate plane. The C(27) atom lies within the former plane, while the C(29) atom is slightly displaced by 0.03 (6) Å. The corresponding figures for the plane defined by the C(31), O(7), O(8) atoms are  $33.2(6)^{\circ}$ , -0.18(1)[C(30)] and +0.05(1) Å [C(32)]. The planes passing through the two CO<sub>2</sub> groups make a dihedral angle of  $39.1(6)^{\circ}$ . Thus, the two methoxycarbonyl groups are rotated around their C-CO<sub>2</sub> bonds in such a way (Fig. 2) as to put O(6) and O(8) above and below the triazolate plane, at a reciprocal distance of 2.968 (5) Å. The bond lengths and angles within the triazolate ring are given in Table 2. Their values indicate some amount of electronic delocalization over the ring which has twofold symmetry within experimental error. The mean N-N and C-N bond lengths are 1.333(4) and 1.355(5) Å, respectively, whereas the C–C distance is 1.392 (5) Å. The above mean values do not differ significantly from the mean values of 1.318 (10) and 1.330 (10) Å found for N-N and C-N bonds in the tetrazolate analogue (Takach, Holt, Alcock, Henry & Nelson, 1980).

It is of interest to compare the structure of (I) with the corresponding  $pyCo(DH)_2N_3$  (py = pyridine) compound (Clearfield, Gopal, Kline, Sipski & Urban, 1978). Some structural parameters are reported in Table 3. As already observed (Bresciani-Pahor, Randaccio, Toscano & Marzilli, 1982), substitution of py by the bulkier PPh<sub>3</sub> provokes an increase of distortion in the Co(DH)<sub>2</sub> unit as shown by the comparison of  $\alpha$ and d values. Furthermore, a significant lengthening of the Co-N<sub>3</sub> bond (0.061 Å) is observed. A lengthening of the Co-Cl distance has already been observed (Marzilli, Toscano, Ramsden, Randaccio & Bresciani-Pahor, 1982) in PR<sub>3</sub>Co(DH)<sub>2</sub>Cl analogues as compared with NH<sub>3</sub>Co(DH)<sub>2</sub>Cl [from 2.235 (3) to 2.261 (4) Å] and has been attributed to the electronic trans influencing ability of P donor ligands greater than that of N donor ligands. However, a contribution to the observed lengthening due to the 'steric' *trans* influence of PPh<sub>3</sub>, which is greater than that of pyridine, may not be ruled out, in view of the larger deformation induced in the equatorial ligand by PPh<sub>3</sub> which may provoke a lengthening of the *trans* Co–N bond (Bresciani-Pahor, Randaccio, Toscano, Sandercock & Marzilli, 1982). Whatever the origin, the observed Co–N lengthening should influence the rate constants for the cycloaddition reaction of the coordinated azide group having different *trans* ligands. An additional influence on the rate constants may also derive from the different bending of the (DH)<sub>2</sub>.

The Co–P bond length of  $2.311 (1)^{\circ}$  Å is very close to the values reported for PPh<sub>3</sub>Co(DH)<sub>2</sub>Cl [2.327 (4) Å, Brückner & Randaccio, 1974] suggesting that N<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is a weak *trans*-influencing ligand, probably even weaker than Cl<sup>-</sup>.

The N(5)-N(6)  $[1\cdot180 (6) \text{Å}]$  and N(6)-N(7)  $[1\cdot161 (7) \text{Å}]$  bond lengths appear longer than the corresponding distances found in the py analogue (Clearfield *et al.*, 1978), which are  $1\cdot155 (6)$  and  $1\cdot126 (7) \text{Å}$ , respectively. On the other hand, the difference between the long and short N-N bonds is similar in PPh<sub>3</sub> (0.019 Å) and in py (0.029 Å) derivatives. These values suggest that the resonance form (b) should give a greater contribution to the ground state than the (a) form in both cases.

N

The Co-N-N  $[120.4 (3)^{\circ}]$  and N-N-N  $[177.6 (6)^{\circ}]$  angles are not far from those reported for the corresponding py derivative, which are 122.0 (5) and  $174.7 (7)^{\circ}$ .

The structural results of (II) confirm the previous suggestion, based on <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (Beck *et al.*, 1982), that the 4,5-bis(methoxycarbonyl)-1,2,3-triazolate ligand binds symmetrically to cobalt through its central N atom. Fig. 2 shows the orientation of the triazole ring with respect to the  $(DH)_2$  ligands, which fold along a line nearly parallel to the ring plane. An orientation of the tetrazole ring nearly normal to that

folding line was found in (5-CF<sub>3</sub>-tetrazolate)Co(DH)<sub>2</sub>-PBu<sup>n</sup> (Takach, Holt, Alcock, Henry & Nelson, 1980) and was attributed to a positive or non-bonded attraction between the tetrazole N atoms and the C atoms of the five-membered ring of the DH unit. Present results do not give support to this hypothesis, the orientation of the triazole ring being very close to that found in pyridine derivatives (Clearfield et al., 1978). We note that substitution of  $N_3$  by the more bulky triazole derivative provokes a decrease of the Co displacement from the coordination plane and of the bending angle α as well as an increase of the P-Co-N (axial) angle (Table 3). Similar variations have already been observed (Bresciani-Pahor et al., 1980) in other cobaloximes, containing PPh<sub>3</sub>, when the methyl group in the axial position is replaced by the bulky neopentyl group.



The Co-P bond is significantly lengthened from  $2 \cdot 311$  (1) in (I) to  $2 \cdot 333$  (1) Å in (II), suggesting that triazole derivatives exert a *trans* influence which is stronger than that of the azide. This parallels the observed tendency for formation of five-coordinate species in triazolate compounds (Beck *et al.*, 1982).

The bond lengths (Table 3) and the planarity of the triazole ring, from which the Co is displaced by only 0.02 (4) Å, suggest a delocalization over the ring atoms (form c). However, a contribution from the localized form (d) cannot be ruled out.

This work has been supported in part by a grant from CNR, Rome (to LR).

#### References

- BECK, W., NELSON, J. H., TAKACH, N. E., KEMMERICH, T., BOEHME, H. & JABLONSKI, B. (1982). *Inorg. Chem.* 21. 1226–1232.
- BRESCIANI-PAHOR, N., CALLIGARIS, M. & RANDACCIO, L. (1980). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 39, 173-179.
- BRESCIANI-PAHOR, N., RANDACCIO, L., TOSCANO, P. J. & MARZILLI, L. G. (1982). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 567-572.
- BRESCIANI-PAHOR, N., RANDACCIO, L., TOSCANO, P. J., SANDERCOCK, A. C. & MARZILLI, L. G. (1982). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 129–134.
- BRÜCKNER, S. & RANDACCIO, L. (1974). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1017–1022.
- CLEARFIELD, A., GOPAL, R., KLINE, R. J., SIPSKI, M. L. & URBAN, L. O. (1978). J. Coord. Chem. 8, 5–13.
- MARZILLI, L. G., TOSCANO, P. J., RAMSDEN, J. H., RANDACCIO, L. & BRESCIANI-PAHOR, N. (1982). *Adv. Chem. Ser.* **196**, 85–99.
- MOORE, F. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1169-1175.
- STEWART, J. M., KUNDELL, F. A. & BALDWIN, J. C. (1970). The XRAY system. Univ. of Maryland, College Park, MD.
- TAKACH, E., HOLT, E. M., ALCOCK, N. W. HENRY, R. A. & NELSON, J. H. (1980). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 2968–2979.

Acta Cryst. (1984). C40, 746–748

# Structure of *trans*-Bis(dimethylphenylphosphine)bis(pyrazole)platinum, $[Pt(C_3H_4N_2)_2 - {P(CH_3)_2(C_6H_5)}_2]$

By J. D. Oliver,\* D. F. Mullica, David A. Grossie, W. O. Milligan and H. O. Perkins

Departments of Chemistry and Physics, Baylor University, Waco, Texas 76798. USA

(Received 16 September 1983; accepted 7 December 1983)

Abstract.  $M_r = 607.55$ , monoclinic, C2/c, a = 21.509 (6), b = 6.290 (2), c = 17.934 (4) Å,  $\beta = 102.54$  (2)°, V = 2368.7 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_m = 1.70$ ,  $D_x = 1.70$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\lambda$ (Mo  $K\bar{\alpha}$ ) = 0.71073 Å,  $\mu$ (Mo  $K\alpha$ ) = 6.13 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 1192, T = 291 K. Final R = 0.028 for 1416 unique observed reflections. The Pt atom lies on a crystallographic inversion center with a *trans* arrangement of the dimethylphenylphosphine and pyrazole ligands. The plane of the pyrazole ring forms an angle of 73.8° with the square-planar coordination

plane defined by the Pt, P and coordinated N atoms of the pyrazole ligand. The coordinated pyrazole ligand is flat and virtually isometric. Selected geometrical details are Pt-P = 2.305 (3), Pt-N = 2.027 (7), average C-C (phenyl) = 1.382 (14) Å, P-Pt-N = 91.7 (2)°.

**Introduction.** The determination of the crystal structure of the title compound (hereinafter referred to as PTPP) was initiated as part of a continuing study of the steric requirements of coordinated trisubstituted phosphine ligands. Platinum metal and organoplatinum complexes are often used as catalysts for hydrogen-transfer reactions. This reaction generally includes a catalytic

© 1984 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> Present address: The Procter and Gamble Company, Miami Valley Laboratories, Cincinnati, Ohio 45247, USA.